arXiv:math/0207204v1 [math.CO] 23 Jul 2002

AVOIDING 2-LETTER SIGNED PATTERNS

T. MANSOUR[a] AND J. WEST[b]

[A] LaBRI (UMR 5800), Université Bordeaux 1, 351 cours de la Libération,
33405 Talence Cedex, France
`toufik@labri.fr`

[B] Malaspina University-College, 900 Fifth Street, Nanaimo,
BC Canada V9R 5S5
`westj@mala.bc.ca`

Abstract

Let B_n be the hyperoctahedral group; that is, the set of all signed permutations on n letters, and let $B_n(T)$ be the set of all signed permutations in B_n which avoids a set T of signed patterns. In this paper, we find all the cardinalities of the sets $B_n(T)$ where $T \subseteq B_2$. This allow us to express these cardinalities via inverse of binomial coefficients, binomial coefficients, Catalan numbers, and Fibonacci numbers.

1. Introduction

Pattern avoidance proved to be a useful language in a variety of seemingly unrelated problems, from stack sorting [K, T, W] to the theory of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials [Br], singularities of Schubert varieties [LS, Bi], Chebyshev polynomials [MV1](references therein), and Rook polynomials [MV2]. On the other hand, signed pattern avoidance proved to be a useful language in combinatorial statistics defined in type-B noncrossing partitions, enumerative combinatorics, algebraic combinatorics, geometric combinatorics and singularities of Schubert varieties; see [Be, BK, Mo, FK, BS, S, R].

Restricted permutations. Let $S_{\{a_1,\dots,a_n\}}$ be the set of all permutations of the numbers $a_1,\dots,a_n$. For simplicity let us denote by S_n the set $S_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}}$. Let $\pi \in S_n$ and $\tau \in S_k$ be two permutations. An *occurrence* of τ in π is a subsequence $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ such that $(\pi_{i_1},\dots,\pi_{i_k})$ is order-isomorphic to τ; in such a context τ is usually called a *pattern*. We say that π *avoids* τ, or is τ-*avoiding*, if there is no occurrence of τ in π. The set of all τ-avoiding permutations in S_n is denoted $S_n(\tau)$. For an arbitrary finite collection of patterns T, we say that π avoids T if π avoids any $\tau \in T$; the corresponding subset of S_n is denoted $S_n(T)$.

Restricted signed permutations . We will view the elements of the hyperoctahedral group B_n as signed permutations written as $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n$ in which each of the symbols $1, 2, \dots, n$ appears, possibly barred. Clearly, the cardinality of B_n is $2^n n!$. The barring operation is define by change the symbol α_i to $\overline{\alpha_i}$ and $\overline{\alpha_i}$ to α_i, so it is an involution, and the absolute value notation means $|\alpha_i|$ is α_i if the symbol α_i is not barred, otherwise $\overline{\alpha_i}$.

Now let $\tau \in B_k$, and $\alpha \in B_n$; we say α *contains signed pattern* τ or τ-containing signed pattern, if there is sequence of k indices, $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ such that two conditions hold: (1) α with all bars removed contains the pattern τ with all bars removed, i.e., $|\alpha_{i_p}| > |\alpha_{i_q}|$ if and only if $|\tau_p| > |\tau_q|$ for all $k \leq p > q \leq 1$; and (2) α_{i_j} barred if and only if τ_j barred for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. For example, $\alpha = 21\overline{34} \in B_4$ contains the signed patterns $\overline{12}$ and 21. If α not contains signed pattern τ, then we say α *avoids signed pattern* τ or τ*-avoiding signed pattern.* The set of τ-avoiding signed permutations in B_n we denote by $B_n(\tau)$. More generally we define $B_n(T) = \cap_{\tau \in T} B_n(\tau)$. The cardinality of $B_n(T)$ we denote by $b_n(T)$.

Proposition 1.1. (see [S, Section 3]) *Let us define, the reversal (i.e., reading the permutation right-to-left: $\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n \mapsto \alpha_n\alpha_{n-1}\cdots\alpha_1$), the barring (i.e., $\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n \mapsto \overline{\alpha_1}\overline{\alpha_2}\cdots\overline{\alpha_n}$) and the complement (i.e., $\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n \mapsto \beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_n$ where $\beta_i = n+1-\alpha_i$ if α_i not barred, otherwise $\beta_i = \overline{n+1-|\alpha_i|}$ for all i) on B_n. Let us denote by G_b the group which generated by these three symmetric operations. Then every element $g \in G_b$ give a bijection which show if T and T' are both subsets of signed patterns in B_n such that $T' = g(T) = \{g(\alpha) | \alpha \in T\}$, then $b_n(T) = b_n(T')$.*

In the symmetric group S_n, for every 2-letters pattern τ the number of τ-avoiding permutations is one, and for every pattern $\tau \in S_3$ the number of τ-avoiding permutations is given by the Catalan number [K]. Simion [S, Section 3] proved there are similar results for the Hyperoctahedral group B_n (generalized by Mansour [M]), for every 2-letters signed pattern τ the number of τ-avoiding signed permutations is given by $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j}^2 j!$. In the present note, similarly as [SS], we find all the cardinalities $b_n(T)$ where $T \subseteq B_2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we treat the case $|T| = 1, 2$. In sections 3, 4, and 5 we present all the values $b_n(T)$ where $T \subseteq B_2$ such that $|T| = 3$, $|T| = 4$, and $|T| = 5, 6, 7, 8$; respectively.

2. Two signed patterns

By taking advantage of Proposition 1.1, the question of determining the values $b_n(\tau)$ for the 8 choices of one 2-letter signed pattern, reduces to 2 cases, which are $\tau = 12$ and $\tau = 1\overline{2}$. Simion [S, Proposition 3.2] proved for any $n \geq 0$

$$b_n(12) = b_n(1\overline{2}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 k!. \tag{2.1}$$

Additionally, the second question of determining the values $b_n(\tau, \tau')$ for 28 choices of two 2-letters signed patterns, reduces 8 cases.

Remark 2.1. *In* [S, Proposition 3.4] *proved $b_n(12, 21) = 2n!$ and $b_n(1\overline{2}, \overline{1}2) = (n+1)!$. On the other hand, $b_2(12, 21) = 6$ and $b_3(1\overline{2}, \overline{1}2) = 22$. Here, we present the correction of these cases.*

Theorem 2.2. *Given n and two 2-letters signed patterns τ, τ'. The value $b_n(\tau, \tau')$ satisfies one of the following relations, according to which orbit (under reversal, barring, complementation) contains the pair τ, τ':*

$$b_n(\{12, 21\}) = b_n(\{12, 1\overline{2}\}) = b_n(\{2\overline{1}, 1\overline{2}\}) = b_n(\{2\overline{1}, \overline{1}2\}) = (n+1)!; \tag{2.2}$$

$$b_n(\{12, \overline{12}\}) = b_n(\{12, \overline{21}\}) = \binom{2n}{n}; \tag{2.3}$$

$$b_n(\{12,\bar{2}1\}) = n! + n!\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{i}\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\frac{1}{j!}\right); \tag{2.4}$$

$$b_n(\{1\bar{2},\bar{1}2\}) = 2\sum_{l=1}^{n}\ \sum_{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_l=n,\ i_j\geq 1}\ \prod_{j=1}^{l} i_j!. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. $b_n(\{12,21\}) = (n+1)!$ yields immediately by use [M, Theoren 1], the other results in 2.2 are holding by use [S, Proposition 3.4]. By [M, Example 4.8] we get 2.3.

To verify 2.4, let us consider the number permutations $\alpha \in B_n(12,\bar{2}1)$. If α_n barred then α avoids $12,\bar{2}1$ if and only if $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1})$ avoids $12,\bar{2}1$, which means there are $nb_{n-1}(12,\bar{2}1)$ signed permutations. If $\alpha_n = i$ unbarred then the smaller symbols $1,\dots,i-1$ must be barred in α and the larger symbols $i+1,\dots,n$ must be unbarred, hence the smaller can be permuted and placed in any positions $1,2,\dots,n-1$. This gives $b_n(12,\bar{2}1) = nb_{n-1}(12,\bar{2}1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{i}i!$ for $n \geq 1$. Besides $b_0(T) = 1$, hence (4) holds by the principle of induction on n.

To verify 2.5, let us consider $\alpha \in B_n(1\bar{2},\bar{1}2)$. So, by induction on n it is easy to prove there exist a partition $\alpha = (\alpha^1,\dots,\alpha^l)$ such hold the following conditions:

1. Every absolute symbol in α^j is greater than every absolute element in α^{j+1} for all $j = 1,2,\dots l-1$;
2. All the symbols of α^j are either barred or unbarred;
3. The symbols in α^j are barred if and only if the symbols in α^{j+1} are unbarred.

Hence, the rest is easy to check. □

3. Three signed patterns

By taking advantage of Proposition 1.1, the question of determining the values $b_n(T)$ where $T \subset B_2$ and $|T| = 3$, for the 56 choices of three 2-letters signed patterns, reduces to 10 cases as follows.

$$\begin{array}{llll}
T_1 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2\}; & T_2 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}\}; & T_3 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, 21\}; & T_4 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, 2\bar{1}\}; \\
T_5 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{2}1\}; & T_6 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{21}\}; & T_7 = \{12, \overline{12}, 21\}; & T_8 = \{12, \overline{12}, 2\bar{1}\}; \\
 & T_9 = \{12, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1\}; & T_{10} = \{1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 2\bar{1}\}. &
\end{array}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Given n and set T of 2-letters signed patterns such that $|T| = 3$. The value $b_n(T)$ satisfies one of the following relations, according to which orbit (under reversal, barring, complementation) contains T:*

$$b_n(T_1) = \sum_{d=0}^{n}\ \sum_{i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_d=n-d}\ \prod_{j=0}^{d} i_d!; \tag{3.1}$$

$$b_n(T_2) = C_{n+1}; \tag{3.2}$$

$$b_n(T_3) = n! + n!\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{j}; \tag{3.3}$$

$$b_n(T_4)=b_n(T_5)=n!\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{1}{j!}; \tag{3.4}$$

$$b_n(T_6)=F_{2n+1}; \tag{3.5}$$

$$b_n(T_7)=n^2+1; \tag{3.6}$$

$$b_n(T_8)=2^{n+1}-(n+1); \tag{3.7}$$

$$b_n(T_9)=n!+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{p+q=n-j}p!q!, \tag{3.8}$$

$$b_n(T_9)=n!\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}^{-1}, \tag{3.9}$$

where C_m and F_m are the mth Catalan and Fibonacci numbers; respectively.

Proof 3.1. Let $\alpha\in B_n(T_1)$, and let m_0 the first symbol unbarred by reading α from left-to-right. Since α avoids 12 we get all the symbols $\alpha_j<m_0$ are unbarred, and since $\alpha\in B_n(T_1)$ we get that $\alpha=(\alpha^0,m_0,\beta)$ where $\beta\in B_{m_0-1}(T_1)$ and α^0 is permutation of the symbols $\overline{m_0+1},\dots,\overline{n}$. By the principle of induction, for any $\alpha\in B_n(T_1)$ there exist $0\le d\le n$ and α^j permutations of the symbols $\overline{m_j+1},\overline{m_j+2},\dots,\overline{m_{j-1}-1}$ for all $0\le j\le d+1$ where $m_{-1}=n+1$, $m_{d+1}=0$, $0\le m_d<m_{d-1}<\dots<m_0\le n$ such that $\alpha=(\alpha^0,m_0,\alpha^1,m_1,\dots,\alpha^d,m_d,\alpha^{d+1})$. The rest easy to check. □

Corollary 3.2. *$b_n(T_1\cup\{\overline{12}\})=2^n$ for any $n\ge 0$, and $b_n(T_1\cup\{\overline{21}\})=1+\binom{n+1}{2}$ for any $n\ge 2$.*

Proof. Immediately by use the argument proof of 3.1. □

Proof 3.2. A *split permutation* is a permutation $\pi=(\pi',\pi'')\in S_n$, where π' and π'' are nonempty such that every entry of π' is greater than every entry of π''. For example, 231, 312, and 321 all the split permutations in S_3.

We first check that the number of non-splitting 123-avoiding permutations in S_n (denoted by N_n) is the $(n-1)$th Catalan number; C_{n-1}. This can doubtless be done directly, but we will offer a somewhat indirect proof by induction. It is easy to Check a base case. Now, we suppose this property holds for $j<n$, that is, $N_j=C_{j-1}$ for $j<n$.

Take the C_n 123-avoiding permutation (see [K]) and class them according to the first (upper-left-most) place where they split. Since each permutation in S_n thus decomposes into a direct sum of a non-splitting 123-avoiding permutation and an arbitrary 123-avoiding permutation, we have that

$$C_n=\sum_{j=1}^{n}N_jC_{n-j}=N_n+\sum_{j=0}^{n-2}C_jC_{n-1-j}.$$

Since we know that the standard catalan recurrence is $C_n=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}C_jC_{n-1-j}$, it follows that $N_n=C_{n-1}$ which completing the induction step.

Now, suppose we have a signed permutations π avoids T_2. Then the permutation $|\pi|$ must avoids 123. For considering any increasing sequence of length 3, two of the elements must receive the same sign.

So it remains to consider all 123-avoiding permutations and assign signs to their elements, respecting the condition that if any element is above and to the right of another element, then it must be coloured $*$ while the one below and to the left must be coloured o.

Take a 123-avoiding permutation π, and suppose that one of its elements can coloured freely, either $*$ or o. Then this element cannot be below and to the left of any other element; neither can it be above and to the right of any other element. This means that the remaining elements in the permutation π must be located in the other two blocks; i.e. if out freely-colourable element is denoted by s, we have $\pi = (\pi', s, \pi'')$ where π' and π'' coloured by o such that each element of π'' greater than each element of π'. This means that the elements in the upper left block must be exactly sufficient to fill in all the rows above s and all the columns to the left of s. Therefore the number of these rows is the same as the number of columns, and the s is in fact situated on the diagonal. This means that the permutation splits at s. It follows that any permutation which is non-splitting is also uniquely colourable.

There is one exception, which is that the single 1-permutation can be coloured in two ways, by $*$ or by o.

Now we are ready to find $b_n(T_2)$ as follows. By induction, $b_j(T_2) = C_{j+1}$ for $j < n$.

We count $b_n(T_2)$ according to the position of the first split. let a_n be the number of non-splitting signed permutations which avoid T_2; so, $a_1 = 2$, while $a_j = N_j = C_{j-1}$ for $j > 1$.

Note that if such a signed permutation splits, then each half can be coloured independently according to the pattern-avoidance conditions. This means that

$$b_n(T_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j b_{n-j} + a_n = 2C_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} C_j C_{n-j} + C_{n-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_j C_{n-j} = C_{n+1}.$$

□

Proof 3.3. Let $\alpha \in B_n(T_3)$; if the symbol n unbarred in α, then since α avoids 12 and 21 we get that all symbols of α barred, so there are $n!$ signed permutations. Let $\alpha_j = \overline{n}$; since α avoids $1\overline{2}$, then the symbol α_i barred for $i \leq j$, so in this case there are $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{j-1}(j-1)! b_{n-j}(T_3)$ signed permutations. Hence

$$b_n(T_3) = n! + (n-1)! \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{b_{n-j}(T_3)}{(n-j)!}.$$

Let $b'_n = b_n(T_3)/n!$; so $b'_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b'_j$, which means that $b'_n - b'_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n}$. Besides $b'_1 = 2$, hence $b'_n = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j}$, as claimed in 3.3.

Corollary 3.3. *$b_n(T_3, \overline{12}) = 1 + \binom{n+1}{2}$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Immediately by use the argument proof of 3.3. □

Proof 3.4. To verify 3.4 we find $b_n(T_4)$ and $b_n(T_5)$ by two steps.

1. Let $\alpha \in B_n(T_4)$; since α avoids 12 and $1\overline{2}$ we get $\alpha_1 = n$ or $\alpha_1 = \overline{i}$. In the first case, since α also avoids $2\overline{1}$ must be $\alpha = (n, n-1, \ldots, 1)$. In the second case there are $b_{n-1}(T_4)$ signed permutations. Therefore, $b_n(T_4) = 1 + n b_{n-1}(T_4)$ for all $n \geq 3$ with $b_2(T_4) = 5$. Hence by the principle of induction on n we get the formula for $b_n(T_4)$.

Corollary 3.4. *$b_n(T_4 \cup \{\overline{12}\}) = b_n(T_4 \cup \{\overline{21}\}) = 2^n$ and $b_n(T_4 \cup \{21\}) = 2 \cdot n!$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. By the above argument (proof of $b_n(T_4)$ formula) we obtain the following.

1. $b_n(T_4 \cup \{21\}) = nb_{n-1}(T_4 \cup \{21\})$ for all $n \geq 2$ with $b_1(T_4 \cup \{21\}) = 2$, hence $b_n(T_4 \cup \{21\}) = 2 \cdot n!$ for $n \geq 1$.
2. Let α avoids T_4 and $\{\overline{12}\}$; similarly there two cases. In the first case $\alpha = (n, \dots, 2, 1)$. In the second case, since α avoids $T_4 \cup \{\overline{12}\}$ we have that $\alpha = (\bar{i}, \beta, n, \dots, i+1, \gamma)$ where all symbols of β barred and decreasing, and all symbols of γ unbarred and decreasing. So $b_n(T_4 \cup \{\overline{12}\}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{i-1}$ which means $b_n(T_4 \cup \{\overline{12}\}) = 2^n$.
3. Similarly as the second case we get that $b_n(T_4 \cup \{\overline{21}\}) = 2^n$.

□

2. Let $\alpha \in B_n(T_5)$; similarly as first step we obtain either $\alpha_1 = n$, or $\alpha_1 = \bar{i}$. In the first case there are $b_{n-1}(T_5)$ signed permutations. In the second case, since α avoids $\bar{2}1$, all the symbols $1, 2, \dots, i-1$ are barred, so there are $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{i-1}(i-1)!b_{n-i}(T_5)$ signed permutations. Hence $b_n(T_5) = b_{n-1}(T_5) + (n-1)! \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{b_i(T_5)}{i!}$ for $n \geq 1$. Let $b'_n = b_n(T_5)/n!$, so $n(b'_n - b'_{n-1}) = b'_{n-1} - b'_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$. Besides $b'_1 = 2$ and $b'_0 = 1$, hence $b'_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{j!}$, as claimed in the second part of 3.4.

Corollary 3.5. *$b_n(T_5 \cup \{\overline{21}\}) = 2^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. By use the above argument (proof on $b_n(T_5)$ formula) we get that

$$b_n(T_5 \cup \{\overline{21}\}) = b_{n-1}(T_5 \cup \{\overline{21}\}) + b_{n-1}(T_5 \cup \{\overline{21}\}).$$

Besides, $b_1(T_5 \cup \{\overline{21}\}) = 2$, hence the corollary holds. □

Proof 3.5. Let $\alpha \in B_n(T_6)$; it is easy to see that $\alpha_1 = n$ or $\alpha_1 = \bar{i}$. In the first case there are $b_{n-1}(T_6)$ signed permutations. In the second case, since α avoids $\overline{21}$ we get that, all the symbols $1, \dots i-1$ are unbarred, since α avoids 12 then α contains $(i-1, i-2, \dots, 1)$, and since α avoids 12 and $1\bar{2}$ we get $\alpha = (\bar{i}, \beta, i-1, \dots, 1)$, hence there are $b_{n-i}(T_6)$ signed permutations for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, for all $n \geq 3$

$$b_n(T_6) = b_{n-1}(T_6) + b_{n-1}(T_6) + b_{n-2}(T_6) + \cdots + b_0(T_6),$$

which means that $b_n(T_6) = 3b_{n-1}(T_6) - b_{n-2}(T_6)$. Besides $b_n(T_6) = 5$, hence by the principle of induction 3.5 holds.

Proof 3.6. Immediately by [M, Theoren 4.4].

Proof 3.7. Let $\alpha \in B_n(T_8)$; if α_1 unbarred, then it is east to see that $(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is partition to two decreasing subsequences such that, all the symbols $|\alpha_1|+1, \dots, n$ are barred and the others symbols are unbarred, hence there are 2^{n-1} signed permutations. If $|\alpha_1| < n$ and α_1 barred, then (similarly) there are $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{i-1}$ signed permutations. Finally, if $\alpha_1 = \bar{n}$ then by definitions there are $b_{n-1}(T_8)$ signed permutations, so $b_n(T_8) = b_{n-1}(T_8) + 2 \cdot 2^{n-1} - 1$. Besides $b_2(T_8) = 5$, $b_1(T_8) = 2$, and $b_0(T_8) = 1$, hence 3.7 holds.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\tau \in \{21, \bar{2}1\}$; $b_n(T_8 \cup \{\tau\}) = 2n$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Immediately by use the argument proof of 3.7. □

Proof 3.8. To verify 3.8, let $\alpha \in B_n(T_9)$, α_i the first entry unbarred in α (i minimal), and let α_j the last entry unbarred in α (j maximal). Since α avoids 12 all the symbols which are not barred are decreasing, and since α avoids $2\bar{1}$ and $\bar{2}1$, we can may write $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma, \delta)$ where β is permutations of the numbers $\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{i}$, $\gamma = (n-j, n-1-j, \dots, i+1)$, and β is permutations of the numbers $\overline{n-j+1}, \dots, \bar{n}$. Hence the rest easy to check.

Proof 3.9. To verify 3.9, let $\alpha \in B_n(T_{10})$, and let j the maximal such that α_j is barred. Since α avoids T_{10}, so we can may write $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma)$ where all symbols of β are barred, all symbols of γ are unbarred, and $|\beta_j| > |\gamma_i|$ for all i, j. Hence $b_n(T_{10}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (n-j)!j!$, as claimed in the second part of 3.9.

4. Four signed patterns

By taking advantage of Proposition 1.1, the question of determining the values $b_n(T)$ where $T \subset B_2$ and $|T| = 4$, for the 70 choices of four 2-letters signed patterns, reduces to 16 cases as follows.

$U_1 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, \overline{12}\}$; $U_2 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 21\}$; $U_3 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 2\bar{1}\}$;
$U_4 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, \overline{21}\}$; $U_5 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, 21\}$; $U_6 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, 2\bar{1}\}$;
$U_7 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, \bar{2}1\}$; $U_8 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, 21, 2\bar{1}\}$; $U_9 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, 21, \bar{2}1\}$;
$U_{10} = \{12, 1\bar{2}, 21, \overline{21}\}$; $U_{11} = \{12, 1\bar{2}, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1\}$; $U_{12} = \{12, 1\bar{2}, 2\bar{1}, \overline{21}\}$;
$U_{13} = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{2}1, \overline{21}\}$; $U_{14} = \{12, \overline{12}, 21, \overline{21}\}$; $U_{15} = \{12, \overline{12}, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1\}$;
$U_{16} = \{1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1\}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Given n and set T of 2-letters signed patterns such that $|T| = 4$. The value $b_n(T)$ for $n \geq 3$ satisfies one of the following relations, according to which orbit (under reversal, barring, complementation) contains T:*

(4.1) $b_n(U_{14}) = 0$;
(4.2) $b_n(U_{10}) = b_n(U_{15}) = 2n$;
(4.3) $b_n(U_4) = b_n(U_5) = 1 + \binom{n+1}{2}$;
(4.4) $b_n(U_1) = b_n(U_6) = b_n(U_7) = b_n(U_{12}) = b_n(U_{13}) = 2^n$;
(4.5) $b_n(U_8) = b_n(U_9) = b_n(U_{16}) = 2n!$;
(4.6) $b_n(U_3) = b_n(U_{11}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} j!$;
(4.7) $b_n(U_2) = n! \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j!(n-1-j)!\right)$.

Proof. Immediately by definition (4.1) holds. (4.2) holds by Corollary 3.6, and (4.4) holds by two Corollaries 3.4 and 3.5.

To verify (4.3), by Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 it is sufficient to prove $b_n(U_7) = 2^n$. Let $\alpha \in B_n(U_7)$; if α_1 unbarred, then since α avoids $12, 1\bar{2}$ we have $\alpha_1 = n$, and in this case there are $b_{n-1}(U_7)$ signed permutations. If α_1 barred, then since α avoids $\overline{12}$ all the symbols $|\alpha_1| + 1, \dots, n$ are unbarred and decreasing (since α avoids 12), and since α avoids $\bar{2}1$ all the symbols $|\alpha_1| - 1, \dots, 1$ are barred and decreasing (since α avoids $\overline{12}$). So there are 2^{n-1} signed permutations. Thus, $b_n(U_7) = b_{n-1}(U_7) + 2^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. Besides $b_0(U_7) = 1$ and $b_1(U_7) = 2$, hence (4.3) holds.

To find $b_n(U_9)$ note that $\alpha \in B_n(U_9)$ has two cases, the first is when the symbol n unbarred in α, since α avoids $12, 21$ all symbols of α are barred, so there are $n!$ signed permutations. The second case when the symbol n barred in α, since α avoids $\bar{2}1, 1\bar{2}$ all other symbols are barred, so there $n!$ signed permutations. Therefore $b_n(U_9) = 2n!$ for $n \geq 1$. Similarly $b_n(U_{16}) = 2n!$ for $n \geq 1$. By Corollary 3.4 $b_n(U_8) = 2n!$ for $n \geq 1$, hence (4.5) holds.

To find $b_n(U_3)$ note that $\alpha \in B_n(U_3)$ has two cases. The first if α_n unbarred, then since α avoids $12, \bar{1}2$ must be $\alpha_n = 1$, so there are $b_{n-1}(U_3)$ signed permutations. The second case when α_n is barred, since α avoids $1\bar{2}, 2\bar{1}$ we have all symbols of α are barred, which means there are $n!$ signed permutations. Accordingly $b_n(U_3) = b_{n-1}(U_3) + n!$ for $n \geq 1$, and $b_0(U_3) = 1$, hence $b_n(U_3) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} j!$.

To find $b_n(U_{11})$ note that for any $\alpha \in B_n(U_{11})$ we can may write $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma)$ where $\gamma = (n, \ldots, n-j+1)$ and β is any permutation of $\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \ldots, \overline{n-j}$, so $b_n(U_{11}) = 0! + 1! + \cdots + n!$ for all $n \geq 0$, as claimed in (4.6).

To verify (4.7) note that $\alpha \in B_n(U_2)$ has two cases either α contain one symbol unbarred or all the symbols of α are barred. In the second case there are $n!$ signed permutations. In the first case, let $\alpha = (\beta, i, \gamma)$ where β, γ permutations of subsets of $\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \ldots, \bar{n}$, but by definition we get that $|\beta_i| > i > |\gamma_j|$, hence there are $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-i)!(i-1)!$ signed permutations, which means (4.7) holds. $\square$

5. More than four signed patterns

By taking advantage of Proposition 1.1, the question of determining the values $b_n(T)$ for $n \geq 3$ where $T \subset B_2$ and $|T| = 5$, for the 56 choices of five 2-letters signed patterns reduces to 10 cases, as follows:

$W_1 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, \overline{12}, 21\}$; $W_2 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, \overline{12}, 2\bar{1}\}$; $W_3 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 21, 2\bar{1}\}$;
$W_4 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 21, \overline{21}\}$; $W_5 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1\}$; $W_6 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 2\bar{1}, \overline{21}\}$;
$W_7 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, 21, 2\bar{1}\}$; $W_8 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, 21, \bar{2}1\}$; $W_9 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, 21, \overline{21}\}$;
$W_{10} = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1\}$.

With the aid of a computer we have calculated the cardinality of $B_n(W_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, 10$. From these results we arrived at the plausible conjecture of Theorem 5.1 (some of which are trivially true).

Theorem 5.1. *Given n and set T of 2-letters signed patterns such that $|T| = 5$. The value $b_n(T)$ satisfies one of the following relations, according to which orbit (under reversal, barring, complementation) contains T:*

1. $b_n(W_9) = 0$*;*
2. $b_n(W_4) = 3$*;*
3. $b_n(W_1) = b_n(W_2) = b_n(W_6) = b_n(W_7) = b_n(W_8) = b_n(W_{10}) = n + 1$*;*
4. $b_n(W_5) = 1 + n!$*;*
5. $b_n(W_3) = (n + 1)(n - 1)!$.

Additionally, the question of determining the values $b_n(T)$ where $T \subset B_2$ and $|T| = 6$, for the 28 choices of six 2-letters signed patterns reduces to 8 cases, as follows:

$V_1 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, \overline{12}, 21, 2\bar{1}\}$; $V_2 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, \overline{12}, 21, \overline{21}\}$; $V_3 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, \overline{12}, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1)\}$;
$V_4 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 21, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1\}$; $V_5 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 21, 2\bar{1}, \overline{21}\}$; $V_6 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \bar{1}2, 2\bar{1}, \bar{2}1, \overline{21}\}$;
$V_7 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, 21, 2\bar{1}, \overline{21}\}$; $V_8 = \{12, 1\bar{2}, \overline{12}, 21, \bar{2}1, \overline{21}\}$.

With the aid of a computer we have calculated the cardinality of $B_n(V_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, 8$. From these results we arrived at the plausible conjecture of Theorem 5.2 (some of which are trivially true).

Theorem 5.2. *Given n and set T of 2-letters signed patterns such that $|T| = 6$. The value $b_n(T)$ for $n \geq 2$ satisfies one of the following relations, according to which orbit (under reversal, barring, complementation) contains T:*

1. $b_n(V_2) = b_n(V_7) = b_n(V_8) = 0$;
2. $b_n(V_1) = b_n(V_3) = b_n(V_5) = b_n(V_6) = 2$;
3. $b_n(V_4) = n!$ *for all* $n \geq 2$.

Additionally, the question of determining the values $b_n(T)$ where $T \subset B_2$ and $|T| \geq 7$, for the 9 choices of seven or eight 2-letters signed patterns reduces to 3 cases which are:

$$U_1 = B_2,\ U_2 = \{12, 1\overline{2}, \overline{1}2, \overline{12}, 21, 2\overline{1}, \overline{21}\},\ U_3 = \{12, 1\overline{2}, \overline{1}2, \overline{12}, 21, 2\overline{1}, \overline{2}1\}.$$

With the aid of a computer we have calculated the cardinality of $B_n(U_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. From these results we arrived at the plausible conjecture of Theorem 5.3 (some of which are trivially true).

Theorem 5.3. *Given* n *and set* T *of* 2*-letters signed patterns such that* $|T| = 7, 8$*. The value* $b_n(T)$ *for* $n \geq 3$ *satisfies one of the following relations, according to which orbit (under reversal, barring, complementation) contains* T*:*

1. $b_n(U_1) = b_n(U_2) = 0$;
2. $b_n(U_3) = 1$.

References

[Be] D.A. Beck, The combinatorics of symmetric functions and permutation enumeration of the hyperoctahedral group, *Discr. Math.* **163** (1997) 13–45.

[Bi] S.C. Billey, Pattern avoidance and rational smothness of Schubert varieties, *Adv. in Math.* **139** (1998) 141–156.

[BK] S. Billey and T. Kailam, Vexillary elements in hyperoctahedral group, *J. of Alg. Combin.* **8** (1998) 139–152.

[BS] M. Bona and R. Simion, A self-dual poset on objects counted by the Catalan numbers and a Type-B analogue, *Discrete Math.* **220** (2000) 35–49.

[Br] F. Brenti, Combinatorial properties of the Kazhdan-Lusztig R-polynomials for S_n, *Adv. in Math.* **126** (1997) 21–51.

[FK] S. Fomin and A.N. Kirillovm Combinatorial B_n-analogues of schubert polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** no. 9 (1996) 3591–3620.

[K] D.E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, 2nd ed. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA (1973).

[LS] V. Lakshmibai and B. Sandhya, Criterion for smoothness of Schubert varieties in $Sl(n)/B$, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, **100** (1990) 45–52.

[Mo] C. Montenegro, The fixed point non-crossing partition lattices, manuscript, (1993).

[M] T. Mansour, Pattern avoidance in coloured permutations, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, **46** (2001), Article B46g.

[MV1] T. Mansour and A. Vainshtein, Restricted 132-avoiding permutations, , *Adv. Appl. Math.* **26** (2001), 258–269.

[MV2] T. Mansour and A. Vainshtein, Avoiding maximal parabolic subgroups of S_k, Discr. Math. and Theoretical computer science **4:1** (2000) 67–77.

[R] V. Reiner, Non-crossing partitions for classical reflection groups. *Discrete Math.* **177** (1997), no. 1-3, 195–222.

[Ri] J. Riordan, Combinatorial Identities, John Wiley, New York, 1968.

[S] R. Simion, Combinatorial statistics on type-B analogues of noncrossing partitions and restricted permutations, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **7** (2000) #R9.

[SS] R. Simion and F. Schmidt, Restricted permutations, *Europ. J. Comb.* **6** (1985) 383–406.

[T] R. Tarjan, Sorting using networks of queues and stacks, *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.* **19** (1972) 341–346.

[W] J. West, Permutations with forbidden subsequences and stack-sortable permutations, Ph.D-thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge (1990).